

**Kapushoc, Stephen T.**

---

From: Ouspenski, Ilia  
 Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 4:39 PM  
 To: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
 Subject: RE: Immunology question

Steve,

Not being an expert in MHC restriction, I consulted Mike Szperka of our art unit, and he agrees that the evidence in declaration of 12/07, together with the data in the spec, appear to provide reasonable evidence of enablement (I only looked at claim 1). Please feel free to stop by if you want to discuss it further.

Best,

Ilia

---

From: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
 Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 4:11 PM  
 To: Ouspenski, Ilia  
 Subject: RE: Immunology question

Thanks for looking. Yes the Dec is to overcome enablement issues.

---

From: Ouspenski, Ilia  
 Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 4:10 PM  
 To: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
 Subject: RE: Immunology question

Steve,

I'll take a look at the Dec and get back with you. I suspect that it may be beyond my expertise as well.

Is the Dec in order to overcome a scope of enablement rejection?

Ilia

---

From: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
 Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 4:05 PM  
 To: Ouspenski, Ilia  
 Subject: FW: Immunology question

Ilia,

Eileen O'Hara suggested that I might ask you about the following: I am looking at a 132 declaration for a case (10/705,245) where the Declaration deals with immunological issues. The conclusions presented in the Declaration are a little beyond my understanding of the subject, and I was wondering if you might be able to answer some questions about this Declaration. Essentially I wanted to know if this Declaration does indeed support applicants conclusion that the HLA-B 1502 allele is in fact actively involved in the response to carbamazepine that results in SJS/TEN. At issue is that this allele is thus far found only in individuals of Asian descent, where the claims encompass any individual.

Let me know if you would like me to stop by your Office to discuss any more particulars of this case.

Thanks for any help,

Steve

---

From: O'Hara, Eileen  
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 4:00 PM  
To: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
Cc: Ouspenski, Ilia  
Subject: RE: Immunology question

Hi Steve,

I've just become SPE of 1644 and have some knowledge of immunological issues, but looking at the declaration in the case I realize that I don't have that kind of expertise. I asked Ilia Ouspenski, who is in my art unit and who is very knowledgeable about these things, if he would be willing to help you, and he said he would. Please contact him.

Eileen

---

From: Kapushoc, Stephen T.  
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 2:46 PM  
To: O'Hara, Eileen  
Subject: Immunology question

Eileen,

I am looking at a 132 declaration for a case (10/705,245) where the Declaration deals with immunological issues. The conclusions presented in the Declaration are a little beyond my understanding of the subject, and I was referred to you as someone who might be able to answer some questions about this Declaration. If you have time to discuss it with me let me know a good time when I can stop by your office.

Thanks for any help,

Steve

Stephen Kapushoc, PhD  
Art Unit 1634 - USPTO  
Tel: 571-272-3312  
Office: REM 2A64  
Mailbox: REM 2C70